## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/573,501	DANTLGRABER, JOERG	
Examiner	Art Unit	
F. Daniel Lopez	3745	

	F. Daniel Lopez	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> <li>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth intermediate than SIX MONTHS from the mailing op. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			oaaoo
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.12	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. 🛛 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	objection to the drawings.		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. $\square$ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.			
	/F. Daniel Lopez/		
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3745		

## Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Amended claim 13 has the limitations of claim 16 added to it, but several limitations deleted from it and added as new claims 24 and 25, raising new issues..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that the "blanking and nibbling machine" has support in the specification on page 15 line 6. The specification is not consistent with itself, since in 2 places (abstract, page 15 line 6) it discusses a blanking and nibbling machine; and in 3 other places (page 1 line 12-13; page 2 line 8; page 5 line 13), it discusses a blanking or nibbling machine. Therefore, the specification should be objected to and the claim rejected based on the objection to the specification.

The question arises as to what a blanking and nibbling machine is and how it is different from a blanking or nibbling machine. A blanking or nibbling machine is understood by the examiner as being a machine that does blanking or it does nibbling, or it can be reconfigured from doing blanking to doing nibbling. A blanking and nibbling machine is understood by the examiner as being a machine that does blanking and nibbling at the same time. Perhaps, applicant is meaning a blanking or nibbling machine whenclaiming a blanking and nibbling machine.